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1 Introduction

This is the second WGO guideline published to complement World Digestive Health Day (WDHD) themes. The WGO’s aim in the guideline is to guide health-care providers in the best management of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) through a concise document that provides recommendations based on the latest evidence and has been drawn up in a global expert consensus process focusing on the best current practice.

1.1 Cascades for GERD diagnosis and management

WGO guidelines are intended to highlight appropriate, context-sensitive and resource-sensitive management options for all geographical regions, regardless of whether they are considered to be “developing,” “semi-developed,” or “developed.”

- There is a concern that guidelines from developed countries, by emphasizing high-tech investigations and Barrett’s esophagus (BE) surveillance, for example, may divert research and clinical resources from more urgent problems in developing and semideveloped countries.
- However, one could argue that there are similar problems in developed countries and that an overemphasis on complications or “proposed GERD associations” (as in the Montreal Consensus [1]) is leading to inappropriate investigations and resource utilization even in developed regions.
- It is also important to emphasize to health-care insurers and funding bodies that appropriate, effective therapy is both therapeutic and diagnostic and that conducting mandatory investigations (e.g., esophagogastroduodenoscopy) to permit proton-pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy is not patient-centered and, more importantly, is likely not to be cost-effective.
- WGO Cascades are thus context-sensitive, and the context is not necessarily defined solely by resource availability.

A standardized global approach would require that the epidemiology of GERD and reflux-like symptoms be comparable in all parts of the world, and that the full ranges of diagnostic tests and medical treatment options be generally available. However, neither the epidemiology of the condition, nor the availability of resources for the diagnosis and management of GERD, is sufficiently uniform throughout the world to support the provision of a single, gold standard approach.

GERD is a condition that is particularly suitable for the WGO cascade approach, and this global WGO guideline therefore includes a set of cascades to provide context-sensitive and resource-sensitive options for the diagnosis and management of GERD. The WGO Cascades are intended to serve as a “global” complement to — rather than a replacement for — the “gold standard” guidelines produced by regional groups and national societies.

- WGO Cascades: a hierarchical set of diagnostic, therapeutic, and management options for dealing with risk and disease, ranked by the resources available.

GERD is now widely prevalent around the world, with clear evidence of increasing prevalence in many developing countries. Practice recommendations should be sensitive to context, with the goal of optimizing care in relation to local resources and the availability of health-care support systems. The expression of the disease is
considered to be similar across regions, with heartburn and regurgitation as the main symptoms. For initial management, the patient may purchase over-the-counter (OTC) medication for heartburn relief or seek further advice from a pharmacist. When patients perceive that their symptoms are more troublesome, they may seek a doctor’s advice; depending on the patient’s circumstances and the structure of the local healthcare system, patients may seek advice at the primary care level or they may consult a gastroenterology specialist or surgeon, directly or by referral. The WGO cascade approach aims to optimize the use of available health-care resources for individual patients, based on their location and access to various health-care providers.

- In this guideline, the Cascades are listed in sections 3.5, “Cascades for the Diagnosis of GERD” and 4.6, “Cascades for the Management of GERD.”
- Section 5.2 in the Appendix provides a list of selected “gold standard” guidelines.

1.2 Definition and description of GERD
1. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) can be defined as troublesome symptoms sufficient to impair an individual’s quality of life, or injury or complications that result from the retrograde flow of gastric contents into the esophagus, oropharynx, and/or respiratory tract.

2. Reflux-induced symptoms, erosive esophagitis, and long-term complications [2] may have severely deleterious effects on daily activities, work productivity, sleep, and quality of life. The Montreal definition of GERD states that “troublesome symptoms” may be considered to be moderate to severe symptoms that occur on one or more days per week.

3. GERD can be classified relative to the presence or absence of erosions; GERD symptoms without erosions on endoscopic examination constitute nonerosive reflux disease (NERD), whereas GERD symptoms with erosions constitute erosive esophagitis (EE) [3]. It should be emphasized that EE can also occur in the absence of symptoms [4].

4. NERD has been defined specifically as “a subcategory of GERD characterized by troublesome reflux-related symptoms in the absence of esophageal mucosal erosions at conventional endoscopy and in the absence of recent acid-suppressive therapy.” This definition was further qualified [5] on the basis of pathobiology and diagnosis with the statement that “evidence in support of this diagnosis includes, but is not limited to, responsiveness to acid suppression, positive 24-h pH monitoring (positive symptom association) or identification of specific novel endoscopic, morphological, or physiological findings.” NERD is by far the most common form of GERD globally [5].

5. Barrett’s esophagus (BE) refers to the endoscopic presence, confirmed histologically, of columnar-lined esophagus. This is currently the only identifiable complication of GERD that is known to have malignant potential.

6. Extraesophageal GERD syndromes can be categorized as conditions that have an established association with GERD (cough, laryngitis, asthma, dental erosions) and those that have only a proposed association (pharyngitis, sinusitis, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, otitis media) [6].

Reflux-related symptoms occur with frequency and severity across a continuum. There are individuals who experience occasional, mild reflux symptoms that do not
trouble them and are not considered to meet the criteria for a diagnosis of GERD; symptoms in such individuals should be managed with low-intensity, intermittent treatments and lifestyle adjustments as required.

- Reflux symptoms in NERD may be as severe as those experienced by patients with mucosal damage confirmed by endoscopy [7].
- The occurrence of reflux symptoms two or more times per week is associated with a reduction in the patient’s quality of life, even if the symptoms are mild [8]. Troublesome reflux symptoms have therefore been defined as those that occur two or more times per week [1].
- The occurrence of infrequent moderate or severe symptoms less than twice per week may nonetheless be sufficient to affect quality of life, consistent with a diagnosis of GERD [8].

1.3 Epidemiology of GERD

GERD is a global disease, and evidence suggests that its prevalence is increasing. Prevalence estimates show considerable geographic variation, but it is only in East Asia that prevalence estimates are currently consistently lower than 10% [9]. The high prevalence of GERD, and hence of troublesome symptoms, has significant societal consequences, impacting adversely on work productivity [10] and many other quality-of-life aspects for individual patients [11,12].

Robust epidemiological studies are still lacking for developed countries, such as Japan, as well as from many emerging economies including Russia, India, and the African continent. There are also few data regarding the prevalence of GERD in pediatric populations, the incidence of GERD [9] (Table 1), its natural history, and its causes.

Most epidemiological studies of the condition are symptom-based [4]. As symptom-based diagnosis is challenging, the epidemiological data on the prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms (GERS) are probably flawed. This is in part because the description and nomenclature of reflux symptoms varies between regions, and in part because upper gastrointestinal symptoms (“dyspeptic” symptoms) may be described similarly by patients who have a variety of upper gastrointestinal diagnoses, including peptic ulcer disease, nonulcer dyspepsia, dysmotility, or GERD [13]. Nonetheless, it is instructive to report the prevalence of “dyspeptic” symptoms across the globe, as these data affect the pretest probability that upper gastrointestinal symptoms are attributable to gastroesophageal reflux.
Table 1  GERD symptoms: range of incidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia/Oceania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Northern Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Western Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Eastern Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southern Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Africa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2  Clinical features

2.1  Predisposing and risk factors

GERD is a sensorimotor disorder associated with impairment of the normal antireflux mechanisms (e.g., lower esophageal sphincter function, phrenicoesophageal ligament), with changes in normal physiology (e.g., impaired esophageal peristalsis, increased intragastric pressure, increased abdominothoracic pressure gradient) or, very rarely, excess gastric acid secretion (Zollinger–Ellison syndrome).

Eating and lifestyle

- An increase in GERD symptoms occurs in individuals who gain weight [14].
- A high body mass index (BMI) is associated with an increased risk of GERD [15].
- High dietary fat intake is linked to a higher risk of GERD and erosive esophagitis (EE) [16].
- Carbonated drinks are a risk factor for heartburn during sleep in patients with GERD [17].
- The role of coffee as a risk factor for GERD is unclear; coffee may increase heartburn in some GERD patients [18], but the mechanism is unknown and it may be due to caffeine, rather than coffee per se. Coffee is not a dominant risk factor.
- The role of alcohol consumption as a risk factor for GERD is unclear. Excessive, long-term use may be associated with progression to esophageal malignancy, but this may be independent of an effect of alcohol on GERD [19,20].
- The role of smoking as a risk factor for GERD is unclear, although like alcohol, it is associated with an increased risk of malignancy [21,22].

Medication — certain medications may affect GERD

See also section 3.2 on patient history and physical examination.

The treatment of comorbidities (e.g., with calcium channel blockers, anticholinergics, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may negatively affect GERD and its treatment [23]. Some medications (e.g., bisphosphonates,
antibiotics, potassium supplements) may cause upper gastrointestinal tract injury and exacerbate reflux-like symptoms or reflux-induced injury.

**Pregnancy**

Heartburn during pregnancy usually does not differ from the classical presentation in the adult population, but it worsens as pregnancy advances. Regurgitation occurs with approximately the same frequency as heartburn, and GERD in the first trimester is associated with a number of altered physiological responses [24,25]. Factors that increase the risk of heartburn [26] are: heartburn before pregnancy, parity, and duration of pregnancy. Maternal age is inversely correlated with the occurrence of pregnancy-related heartburn [27].

**Other pathobiological factors**

- The higher incidence of GERD in Caucasians [28] is likely to be related to lifestyle rather than genetic factors.
- Comorbidities are frequent in patients with GERD: diabetes, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and sleep apnea are all common. Overweight and obesity are common risk factors both for GERD and for these other comorbidities.
- GERD frequently coexists with other gastrointestinal syndromes such as irritable bowel syndrome.
- In Japan, osteoporosis with vertebral fractures and kyphosis is widely considered to be one of the risk factors for erosive esophagitis, especially among elderly women, and when severe, these skeletal conditions have been associated with Barrett’s epithelium [29–31].

**2.2 Symptomatology**

GERD has a wide spectrum of clinical symptom-based and injury-based presentations, which may manifest either separately or in combination.

- Heartburn and regurgitation are the cardinal and most common symptoms of GERD, but the definitions and relative prevalences of heartburn and regurgitation may vary regionally.
- Regurgitation may indicate gastroesophageal reflux, but it can occur with other less common conditions such as obstruction or achalasia.
- Regurgitation should be distinguished from rumination: rumination is the effortless regurgitation of partially digested food into the mouth, with either expulsion or further mastication and swallowing; rumination is behavioral.
- Heartburn is a retrosternal burning sensation that may move upward toward the neck and throat. It may coexist with other symptoms referable to the upper gastrointestinal tract; see the Montreal definition of GERD [1] (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>The Montreal definition of GERD [1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>— Defines GERD as a condition that develops when the reflux of stomach contents causes troublesome symptoms and/or complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Subclassifies GERD into esophageal and extraesophageal syndromes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Incorporates novel aspects that include a patient-centered approach that is independent of endoscopic findings, a subclassification of GERD into discrete syndromes and a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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recognition of laryngitis, cough, asthma, and dental erosions as possible GERD syndromes

— Proposes new definitions for suspected and proven BE

- Heartburn may be accompanied by regurgitation of sour/acid-tasting fluid or gastric contents into the mouth or the back of the throat — acid or food regurgitation. Regurgitation is defined somewhat differently in some regions or languages; for instance, in Japan, the definition of regurgitation often includes an acidic taste.

- The term “heartburn” has no equivalent in many languages — for example, Asian patients may perceive and describe heartburn as chest pain. “Wind” — usually meaning belching/eructation or distension and a desire to belch/eructate — is a predominant complaint of many patients with GERD as well as with other upper gastrointestinal “diseases” [6].

- In practice, there may be no clear differentiation between what are regarded as GERD symptoms and “dyspepsia” (broadly defined as symptoms felt in the upper abdomen). Indeed, the results of the Diamond study [32] question the value of heartburn and regurgitation as diagnostic symptoms of GERD [6].

- The Canadian Dyspepsia (CanDys) Working Group defined dyspepsia as “a symptom complex of epigastric pain or discomfort thought to originate in the upper gastrointestinal tract … that may include any of the following symptoms: heartburn, acid regurgitation, excessive burping/belching, increased abdominal bloating, nausea, feeling of abnormal or slow digestion, or early satiety” [13]. An endoscopic study in patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia revealed that esophageal findings (predominantly erosive esophagitis) were more commonly seen in patients whose reflux symptoms (heartburn and regurgitation) were most troublesome; however, the prevalences of gastric and duodenal findings were comparable in patients with reflux, ulcer, and dysmotility symptoms [33].

Symptom evaluation is key to the diagnosis of GERD, particularly in the evaluation of the effectiveness of therapy. Heartburn and regurgitation are the most common symptoms, but atypical symptoms of GERD may occur, with or without the common symptoms. Atypical symptoms may include epigastric pain [34] or chest pain [1,35], which may mimic ischemic cardiac pain, as well as cough and other respiratory symptoms that may mimic asthma or other respiratory or laryngeal disorders. Dysphagia may also occur. A minority of GERD patients have multiple unexplained symptoms, which may be associated with psychological distress [8].

Table 3  GERD symptoms [36,37]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical</th>
<th>Heartburn (daytime or night-time)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regurgitation (daytime or nighttime)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water brash (hypersalivation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atypical</th>
<th>Nausea, eructation (belching)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slow digestion, early satiety*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epigastric pain*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bloating*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chest pain (precordial)
Respiratory symptoms (cough, wheeze, chronic rhinosinusitis)
ENT symptoms (hoarseness, pharyngeal pain, globus)
Early awakening
Nocturnal awakening, nightmares

* Can be considered to be associated with GERD if symptoms improve in response to PPI treatment [38]. ENT, ear, nose and throat.

2.3 Natural history

- Most cases of GERD are mild and are not associated with a significant increase in morbidity or mortality in comparison with the general population.
- In most GERD patients, the severity of the condition remains stable or improves over a 5-year observation period during current routine clinical care [39].
- There is a relationship between GERD and obesity: a higher BMI or larger waist circumference and weight gain are associated with the presence of symptoms and complications of GERD, including BE [40].
- Complicated GERD is characterized by stricture, BE, and esophageal adenocarcinoma. The Montreal consensus includes erosive esophagitis (EE) as a complication of GERD (recognizing that the definition of “mucosal breaks” used in the Los Angeles classification includes esophageal ulceration in the range of reflux esophagitis) [41].
- NERD may progress to EE in approximately 10% of GERD patients [42], and EE may therefore be considered as a manifestation of more severe reflux disease.
- EE is associated with BE and is a major risk factor for BE. In comparison with patients who were free of GERD at follow-up, those with EE had a fivefold increased risk of BE after 5 years, in a cohort of the general population in Sweden [43].
- Globally, BE is rare in patients with GERD. It is more common in Western populations.
- It is not known when BE develops relative to the onset of GERD; however, it appears to be more prevalent in older individuals and is strongly associated with an increased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma [44].
- There is a well-documented association between BMI and adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and gastric cardia, although the risk of malignancy in a given individual with GERD is very low [45].

2.4 Alarm features

Most alarm features are not specific for GERD; many are associated with alternative diagnoses that are unrelated to GERD. In most countries, many of these features relate to gastric cancer, complicated ulcer disease, or other serious illnesses.

- Dysphagia [46]
- Odynophagia (painful swallowing)
- Recurrent bronchial symptoms, aspiration pneumonia
- Dysphonia
- Recurrent or persistent cough
- Gastrointestinal tract bleeding
• Frequent nausea and/or vomiting
• Persistent pain
• Iron-deficiency anemia
• Progressive unintentional weight loss
• Lymphadenopathy
• Epigastric mass
• New-onset atypical symptoms at age 45–55 years. A lower age threshold may be appropriate, depending on local recommendations.
• Family history of either esophageal or gastric adenocarcinoma [6].

See also the WGO Global Guideline on common gastrointestinal symptoms (http://www.worldgastroenterology.org/guidelines/global-guidelines/common-gi-symptoms).

3 Diagnosis

3.1 Diagnostic considerations

The presence of heartburn and/or regurgitation symptoms two or more times a week is suggestive of GERD [47]. Clinical, endoscopic and pH-metric criteria provide a comprehensive characterization of the disease, although investigations are usually not required in order to establish a diagnosis of GERD — with the caveat that the pretest probability of GERD varies markedly between geographical regions. (See also section 1.2, Definition and description of GERD.)

The initial evaluation should document the presence, severity, and frequency of heartburn, regurgitation (acid or otherwise), and alarm features; atypical esophageal, pulmonary, otorhinolaryngological, and oral symptoms should also be sought. It may be helpful to evaluate precipitating factors such as eating, diet (fat), activity (stooping), and recumbence; and relieving factors (bicarbonate, antacids, milk, over-the-counter medications) may be helpful.

At this point, it is important to rule out other gastrointestinal diagnoses, particularly upper gastrointestinal cancer and ulcer disease, especially in areas in which these are more prevalent. It is also important to consider other, nongastrointestinal diagnoses, especially ischemic heart disease.

Diagnostic questionnaire tools for GERD (reflux disease questionnaires, RDQs) have been developed for epidemiological studies. However, RDQs did not perform particularly well in the Diamond study [32]. In fact, diagnosis by a physician such as the family practitioner or gastrointestinal specialist showed better sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of GERD than did the RDQ. Questionnaires are generally difficult to use in clinical practice. A careful history is the basis for symptomatic diagnosis, with esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) being reserved for identifying or excluding significant structural lesions in selected cases.

A region-based assessment of the local “pretest probability” may provide some guidance with regard to the choices and sequence of diagnostic tests needed, given the relatively poor predictive value of most symptoms.
**PPI treatment as an aid to diagnosis**

- “PPI trial.” It is no longer recommended to administer an empirical short-term (1–2-week) course of high-dose PPI treatment in order to determine whether or not the patient’s symptoms are acid-related [32], since this is neither sensitive nor specific. Nonetheless, this is commonly done in practice.

- A formal course of PPI therapy, of adequate duration (usually 8 weeks) is required in order to assess the treatment response in GERD patients.

- Weakly acidic reflux episodes may be a substantial proportion of all reflux episodes. If this is the case, such patients may not respond well to PPI therapy (20–40% of GERD patients may not respond to PPI treatment) [34]. In addition, genuinely alkaline reflux may comprise up to 5% of all reflux episodes.

- In a subset of PPI nonresponders, reflux-like symptoms may be due to functional heartburn, rather than GERD [34]. Alternative diagnoses, including peptic ulcer disease, upper gastrointestinal malignancy, functional dyspepsia, eosinophilic esophagitis, and achalasia of the cardia should also be considered.

- In patients with cases that are refractory to PPI treatment, ambulatory 24-hour esophageal pH/impedance monitoring, with the patient off PPI therapy, may be considered in order to help characterize symptoms [48].
  - If there has been complete failure to respond to PPI treatment, the PPI should be stopped at least 1 week before 24-h pH monitoring is performed (rescue antacid may be allowed when necessary), in order to assess for acid reflux.
  - If the refractory reflux symptoms have responded partially, 24-h pH monitoring (with or without esophageal impedance monitoring) should be performed with PPI administration being continued, in order to assess for acid reflux that is persistent despite treatment.
  - Occasionally, 24-h pH monitoring, with esophageal impedance monitoring, may be required, both on and off PPI therapy [49].

**Helicobacter pylori infection** [50]

In many countries with a high prevalence of *H. pylori*, peptic ulcer and cancer continue to be more common than GERD and cause much greater morbidity and mortality [51].

- In this setting, an approach to the diagnosis and management of upper gut symptoms must integrate an assessment of the risks of *H. pylori* and an awareness of the overlap among and difficulty of discriminating between symptoms of GERD, peptic ulcer disease, and functional symptoms — with a decision regarding the relative merits of a test-and-treat approach in comparison with esophagastroduodenoscopy (EGD) to test for *H. pylori* and related diseases before empirical antireflux therapy.

- Although epidemiological studies show a negative association between the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection and the presence and severity of GERD, this is not proof of causation. *H. pylori* infection should be sought and eradication therapy given when indicated in accordance with international, national, or local guidelines.

- The decline in the prevalence of *H. pylori* seen in some countries correlates with improving socioeconomic conditions. Improvements in levels of hygiene and sanitation reduce the likelihood of transmission of *H. pylori* (and other infectious diseases). Increasing socioeconomic status is closely associated with a rising
prevalence of obesity, sedentary occupations, and altered dietary habits, all of which may promote reflux. Therefore, although there may be an inverse correlation between *H. pylori* and GERD prevalence and severity, this may well reflect differing effects of a separate, distinct factor or factors on the two conditions, rather than a causal relationship between *H. pylori* and GERD.

- Physiological studies using pH monitoring have shown that abnormal esophageal acid exposure, which is the hallmark of esophageal reflux, is not influenced by the presence or absence of *H. pylori* infection.
- In most patients, *H. pylori* status has no effect on symptom severity, symptom recurrence, or treatment efficacy in GERD. *H. pylori* eradication does not exacerbate preexisting GERD or affect treatment efficacy [52]. Indeed, in patients with *H. pylori*-positive uninvestigated dyspepsia, eradication therapy has been found to be associated with a lower prevalence of reflux-like symptoms (36%) than control therapy (49%) [53].
- A subgroup of patients infected with more proinflammatory strains of *H. pylori* (virulence factors vacA and cagA) may be less likely to have severe esophagitis or BE. This may be because infection in these patients more often causes severe corpus gastritis with atrophy, resulting in reduced acid output. However, these patients are at much greater risk of developing gastric cancer or ulcer. Eradication therapy in these patients has the potential to reduce the risk of gastric malignancy.

**PPIs and *H. pylori***

The relationship between PPI therapy and the progression of gastritis and corpus atrophy in patients who have gastric *H. pylori* infection has been clarified since the initial observations by Kuipers et al. [54]. PPIs are associated with a worsening of the histological grade of gastritis in *H. pylori*-infected patients, accompanied by an increased prevalence of gastric mucosal atrophy and intestinal metaplasia [55] that occurs earlier, as well as more frequently, than in *H. pylori*-infected patients who do not take PPIs. This risk of gastric mucosal atrophy and intestinal metaplasia is not seen when PPIs are used in uninfected patients or in those who have had successful *H. pylori* eradication therapy before long-term PPI use. As gastric mucosal atrophy and intestinal metaplasia are known to be the major risk factors for the development of gastric adenocarcinoma, most expert guidelines recommend testing and treating for *H. pylori* before long-term PPI therapy is administered, particularly in younger patients.

**Endoscopy (EGD)**

EGD is usually performed for new-onset upper gastrointestinal symptoms, almost irrespective of age, in regions where it is available and affordable and where both the frequency of ulcer disease and the concern about malignancy are high, as in most of Asia [56]. The Cascades given below address the limited availability of endoscopy in less well-resourced areas by suggesting the use of empirical *H. pylori* eradication therapy as a first-line strategy.

- If EGD is performed in regions where the prevalence of GERD is low, the majority of GERD patients will have NERD; in these circumstances, the sensitivity of EGD for the diagnosis of GERD will be low and the main outcome will therefore be the exclusion of other upper gastrointestinal diagnoses.
• Endoscopy is particularly recommended for patients with alarm features suggestive of GERD with complications or of other significant upper gastrointestinal disease such as dysphagia, bleeding, odynophagia, or weight loss.
• Patients with dysphagia should undergo investigation for a potential complication or for an underlying motility disorder, achalasia, stricture, ring, eosinophilic esophagitis, or malignancy [38].
• In several Asian countries, the preference for EGD is driven by the risk of malignancy at an early age and by the availability of “affordable, direct access” endoscopy — an “endoscopy first” approach.

Other investigations

Additional investigations other than EGD are rarely needed; furthermore, they have variable accuracy and are often unavailable.

• Additional relevant investigations include radiological examination, scintigraphy, manometry, and prolonged esophageal pH monitoring, with or without esophageal impedance monitoring.
• Esophageal pH or impedance pH monitoring for 24 hours (or 48–72 hours with the Bravo esophageal pH capsule) may be used to quantify esophageal acid exposure and to evaluate the temporal association between heartburn and reflux episodes, using a measure such as the symptom-association probability [57].
• Esophagus investigations are usually ordered or performed by a specialist, after consultation; they are rarely required except for specific patients with recalcitrant or atypical symptoms. Even in the developed world, access to pH monitoring, impedance monitoring, manometry, and scintigraphy is often very limited.

3.2 Patient history and physical examination

The goals of patient evaluation include the assessment of symptoms and risk factors for the diagnosis of GERD and the prediction of long-term sequelae. In this regard, it is important to consider the regional epidemiology of upper gastrointestinal disease and the pretest probability of GERD relative to other conditions. For instance, in Asia, BE is uncommon, and it is not therefore an important risk for esophageal adenocarcinoma, which is itself uncommon. The prevalence of peptic ulcer and gastric cancer are the greater drivers of endoscopy in Asia where, unlike in the West, esophageal adenocarcinoma is less common. The increasing prevalence in the West of gastroesophageal junction cancers is probably related to GERD as well, even though these cancers are still uncommon. Conversely, squamous cancer is more common in other parts of the world (with a higher prevalence in Iran, for example), related to factors other than reflux. Consideration of all these factors together should guide the sequence and choice of diagnostic investigations.

Personal and family history features

The following features may be helpful in making a diagnosis and assessing the severity of GERD:
• Predisposing factors and risk factors (see above), including family history.
• Duration of symptoms.
• Daytime symptoms, including time of day and relationship to meals.
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• Nocturnal symptoms, including impact on sleep and the effects of a recumbent position and large, late evening meals.
• Treatments and remedies tried, including symptomatic response to therapy; symptom improvement with acid-lowering medications including antacids supports a diagnosis of GERD.
• Periodic dysphagia or food bolus impaction may suggest reflux-related esophageal injury, stricture or malignancy, as well as eosinophilic esophagitis or esophageal dysmotility [58].

Drug history — inquire about medications that may contribute to upper gut symptoms (not necessarily GERD)
• Aspirin/nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), iron, potassium, quinidine, tetracycline, bisphosphonates
• Zidovudine, anticholinergic agents, alpha-adrenergic antagonists, barbiturates
• β2-adrenergic agonists, calcium channel blockers, benzodiazepines, dopamine
• Estrogens, narcotic analgesics, nitrates, progesterone, prostaglandins, theophylline
• Tricyclic antidepressants, chemotherapy

Dietary history
• In some patients, bloating or constipation may be associated with an increased risk of GERD or GERS [59].
• Several studies suggest that stopping smoking and some physical measures, as well as modification of meal size and timing, can be beneficial, but there is limited evidence for the avoidance of alcohol and certain dietary ingredients including carbonated drinks, caffeine, fat, spicy foods, chocolate and mint [60].
• In those who are overweight, weight loss may be associated with improvement in GERD or GERS [61].
• Fermentable carbohydrates may increase the propensity for reflux [62].

Physical evaluation — there are usually no physical signs of GERD
• Waist circumference, weight, and BMI are relevant to risk.
• Peripheral stigmata of scleroderma may, rarely, be present.
• Evaluation and inspection to exclude other medical problems such as asthma, cardiac disease, and cancer:
  — Anemia, weight loss
  — Oropharynx: ulcerations, candidiasis, lesions, masses, lingual dental erosions, caries
  — Neck: nodes, masses
  — Lungs: wheezes, crackles
  — Ears: hearing loss, middle ear effusions (evidence does not support gastroesophageal reflux as a cause of otitis media)
  — Abdomen: masses, tenderness
  — Signs (local or systemic) of malignancy if history and examination are suspicious
3.3 Diagnostic tests for GERD

A presumptive diagnosis of GERD can be established in the setting of typical symptoms: heartburn and regurgitation. In pregnancy, GERD can be reliably diagnosed on the basis of symptoms alone.

If the dominant or most troublesome symptoms are atypical for GERD, other diagnoses should be considered, including *H. pylori*–related diseases and NSAID-induced symptoms. In regions with a high prevalence of *H. pylori* infection, an initial *H. pylori* test-and-treat strategy, or endoscopy if available, should be considered.

Radiological examinations are seldom required. Esophageal pH or pH-impedance monitoring and esophageal manometry can be performed safely, but are seldom required. Intractable reflux symptoms or GERD complications can be evaluated safely using EGD [24,25].

- **Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy** (EGD) is not required in the presence of typical GERD symptoms, despite the high specificity of a finding of esophageal erosions or mucosal breaks [41] for a diagnosis of GERD. Endoscopy is recommended in the presence of alarm symptoms and for assessment of patients who are at higher risk for complications or other diagnoses [41]. The endoscopic features of reflux disease have been defined by the Genval, Montreal, and Vevey consensus groups and in the Los Angeles classification of GERD [1,5,41,63]. Recent data indicate that it is reasonable to perform endoscopy to screen for BE in certain high-risk groups [64] — in particular, overweight white males over the age of 50 with chronic GERD symptoms have an increased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma.

- **Endoscopic biopsies** may be taken from the esophagus, stomach, or duodenum. Distal esophageal biopsies are not recommended for diagnosing GERD [65] and should not be taken, unless one is evaluating for complications [66] or eosinophilic esophagitis. If eosinophilic esophagitis is suspected on the basis of the patient’s history or endoscopic findings, biopsies should be taken from the distal and mid-esophagus [58]. In addition, four-quadrant esophageal biopsies should be taken if the endoscopic appearances are consistent with BE, with an endoscopic suspicion of esophageal metaplasia (ESEM) [34], or if there are visible abnormalities consistent with malignancy or infection. Gastric biopsies should be taken in order to diagnose *H. pylori* infection, atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, or dysplasia, even in the presence of erosive esophagitis. It should be recognized that biopsies may be false-negative for *H. pylori* if the patients are taking or have recently taken PPIs or antibiotics. There is no role for routine duodenal biopsies in patients with typical GERD symptoms.

- **Urea breath testing** (UBT; ¹³C or ¹⁴C) or *H. pylori* stool antigen testing are recommended as noninvasive tests for active *H. pylori* infection, as a basis for a “test-and-treat” strategy for *H. pylori* in regions in which the prevalence of *H. pylori* exceeds 20% [50]. *H. pylori* testing does not confirm or exclude a diagnosis of GERD, but in line with the Cascades approach, the diagnosis of upper gastrointestinal symptoms should be guided by local disease prevalence and economic factors.

- **Serology** is suboptimal for the diagnosis of active *H. pylori* infection, but in regions of high prevalence, particularly if the patient has not taken antibiotics recently, serology will still have a reasonably high positive predictive value, if locally validated. *H. pylori* serology may provide guidance in patients taking PPI
therapy, which can lead to false-negative tests of active infection (UBT, *H. pylori* stool antigen test, histology, culture or rapid urease test).

- **Esophageal manometry** is recommended for preoperative evaluation, before anti-reflux surgery or for patients with persistent symptoms, despite adequate treatment and a normal endoscopy, to rule out achalasia or other motility disorders [3]. Esophageal manometry has no role in the routine diagnosis of GERD.

- **Ambulatory esophageal pH-metry and impedance** may help evaluate patients who are refractory to PPI therapy, and when the diagnosis of GERD is in question. Ambulatory reflux monitoring with pH-metry is the only test that can assess reflux symptom association [48]. Esophageal pH impedance monitoring may be helpful in patients with persistent reflux-like symptoms who have responded poorly to standard therapy [34], to assess both acid and non-acid reflux disease, but symptom association measures have not been validated for pH impedance monitoring. Esophageal pH monitoring is indicated before considering antireflux surgery for GERD, usually with the patients off therapy, in order to confirm that the symptoms are indeed reflux-related.

- **Barium radiography** (swallow or meal) should not be performed to diagnose GERD [67]. Barium radiography may be appropriate in patients who have ancillary symptoms of dysphagia, in order to assess structural disorders (e.g., hiatal hernia, malrotation) or motility disorders (e.g., achalasia).

Table 4 Diagnostic options for GERD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic test</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical PPI therapy (“PPI trial”)</td>
<td>Classic symptoms, no alarm features. For extraesophageal GERD</td>
<td>A negative trial does not rule out GERD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Urea breath test or *H. pylori* stool antigen test    | For uninvestigated dyspepsia, in populations in which the *H. pylori* prevalence is high (> 20%): “test-and-treat” strategy | This approach is subject to local cost–benefit considerations
                                                                                                         | It should be based on a noninvasive test of active infection [50] (UBT, monoclonal stool antigen test) |
| Endoscopy                                            | For alarm symptoms, screening of high-risk patients, chest pain              | Consider early for elderly, those at risk for BE, noncardiac chest pain, patients unresponsive to PPI
                                                                                                         | Prompt endoscopy is recommended in areas with high incidence of upper GI cancer [68] |
| Esophageal biopsy                                     | To exclude non-GERD causes for symptoms — e.g., EoE                         | Not indicated for diagnosis of GERD                                            |
| Gastric biopsy                                        | For unknown *H. pylori* status in patients undergoing EGD for upper GI symptoms | Indicated for the diagnosis of unexplained, previously uninvestigated upper GI symptoms (dyspepsia) and to detect *H. pylori* infection prior to long-term PPI therapy. Eradicate infection if detected |
### Diagnostic test
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic test</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal manometry</td>
<td>To diagnose motility disorders in endoscopy-negative patients unresponsive to PPI therapy Preoperative evaluation for surgery Location of pH probe</td>
<td>Not recommended for GERD diagnosis When achalasia/scleroderma is being considered Preoperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH or impedance pH monitoring</td>
<td>For atypical symptoms For PPI-refractory GERD symptoms Preoperatively, for nonerosive disease</td>
<td>Correlate symptoms with reflux, document abnormal acid exposure or reflux frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium swallow</td>
<td>For evaluation of dysphagia and occasionally for characterization of hiatal hernia</td>
<td>Not useful for GERD diagnosis Do not use unless evaluating for complications (stricture, ring, dysmotility)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on: Katz et al. [3]. BE, Barrett’s esophagus; EE, erosive esophagitis; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; EoE, eosinophilic esophagitis; ESEM, endoscopic suspicion of esophageal metaplasia; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; GI, gastrointestinal; NERD, nonerosive reflux disease; PPI, proton-pump inhibitors; UBT, urea breath test.

Note: The definition of NERD is based on investigations, and it is probably not relevant to the diagnosis and management of GERD by family practitioners and other community-based health-care providers, such as pharmacists.

### 3.4 Differential diagnosis
- Peptic ulcer disease
- Upper gut malignancy
- Functional heartburn — differentiate NERD and functional heartburn on the basis of a clinical response to therapeutic acid suppression, pH monitoring, or impedance pH monitoring
- Schatzki ring, stricture — esophageal web
- Achalasia of the cardia
- Esophageal body motility disorders — scleroderma; diffuse esophageal spasm
- Eosinophilic esophagitis
- Infection — *Candida*, herpes simplex, etc.
- “Pill esophagitis”
- Cardiac disease — ischemic heart disease, pericardial disease
- Esophageal diverticulum
- Other chest pathology
### 3.5 Cascades for the diagnosis of GERD

**Table 5** Cascades for the diagnosis of GERD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource level</th>
<th>Diagnostic recommendations*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low <em>H. pylori</em> prevalence**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High <em>H. pylori</em> prevalence**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited resources</td>
<td>1. Empiric antacid therapy +/- alginate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Empirical <em>H</em>$_2$RA therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. PPI therapy (o.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Consider <em>H. pylori</em> testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. <em>H. pylori</em> “test-and-treat” eradication therapy until confirmed cure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Empirical acid suppression therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. PPI therapy (o.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium resources</td>
<td>1. Empirical PPI therapy (o.d.) (consider <em>H. pylori</em> testing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. PPI therapy (b.i.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. EGD if no response to ≥ 16 weeks of PPI therapy (o.d., b.i.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Screening EGD for BE if white, male patient &gt; 50 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. <em>H. pylori</em> “test-and-treat” eradication therapy until confirmed cure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. PPI therapy (o.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. PPI therapy (b.i.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High resources</td>
<td>1. Empirical PPI therapy (o.d.) (consider <em>H. pylori</em> testing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. PPI therapy (b.i.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. EGD if no response to ≥ 16 weeks of PPI therapy (o.d., b.i.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Esophageal manometry if EGD is normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. pH monitoring / impedance if persistent symptoms (or antireflux surgery is possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Screening EGD for BE if patient &gt; 50 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. <em>H. pylori</em> “test and treat” eradication therapy until confirmed cure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. PPI therapy (o.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. PPI therapy (b.i.d.) if no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. EGD if no response to &gt; 16 weeks PPI therapy (o.d., b.i.d.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Esophageal manometry if EGD is normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. pH monitoring / impedance if persistent symptoms (or antireflux surgery is possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Screening EGD for BE if patient &gt; 50 y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE, Barrett’s esophagus; b.i.d., *bis in die* (twice a day); EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; o.d., *omni die* (daily); PPI, proton-pump inhibitor.

**Notes:**

* Alarm features warrant EGD in all regions.

** *H. pylori* prevalence:

Low: < 30% nationally, low-risk population, confirmed eradication.

High: ≥ 30% nationally, older patients, high-risk region (e.g., First Nations in North America), high-risk ethnic groups (immigrants from eastern Europe, South America, Africa, Indian subcontinent, Asia).

— For EGD, perform esophageal biopsy in abundantly resourced regions or biopsy for selected patients in regions with “medium resources” if features suggest eosinophilic esophagitis.
— For screening EGD, consider this only if there is a high prevalence of BE in the local population and if there are abundant resources.

— For most purposes, EGD will not alter the management, in the absence of alarm features or access to antireflux surgery.

— There is no role for upper gastrointestinal series in the investigation of routine upper gastrointestinal symptoms (uninvestigated dyspepsia).

4 Management

4.1 Management principles

General principles

While the severity and frequency of symptoms vary greatly between GERD patients, occasional reflux symptoms (GERS) do not meet the criteria for a diagnosis of GERD and are managed with low-level intermittent treatments and lifestyle adjustments, as required. More frequent or severe symptoms interfere significantly with patients’ quality of life and warrant therapy sufficient to normalize their quality of life.

Generally, the management of GERD follows a stepwise approach, both with respect to the therapies and to the health-care professionals who guide or provide therapy.

Core principles

The core principles of GERD management are lifestyle interventions, reduction of esophageal luminal acid either by local acid neutralization or by suppression of gastric acid secretion using medical treatment; or, rarely, antireflux surgery. The primary goals of treatment are to relieve symptoms, improve the patient’s health-related quality of life, heal esophagitis, prevent symptom recurrence, and prevent or treat GERD-associated complications in the most cost-effective manner.

4.2 Stepwise therapy

Infrequent heartburn occurring less than twice per week will probably respond to self-care with an antacid or alginate–antacid, taken once a week or less often. These medications are very unlikely to have any deleterious effects. Alginate–antacid combinations are useful and are superior to antacids alone [69]. Particularly in this group of patients, avoidance of foods or events that trigger symptoms and avoidance of large meals eaten late at night may be helpful. Weight reduction in those who are overweight may also reduce the frequency of symptoms.

Patients who have more frequent symptoms should be assessed for longer-term therapy. A diagnosis of GERD — i.e., troublesome symptoms two or more times per week — warrants empirical therapy with an acid inhibitor (PPI or, if unavailable, H2RA). Antacids/alginites may also be used if PPIs or H2RAs are unavailable, or for prompt symptom relief in patients taking acid suppressive medications.

If over-the-counter or lifestyle measures fail, patients will often present in the first instance to a pharmacist or primary care physician. The definition of treatment failure depends to a large extent on the treatment being tried. On the one hand, treatment may
fail because the patient does not actually have GERD; on the other hand, it may be that the treatment is inadequate to address the severity of the GERD. In the latter case, there may be a partial response to therapy, and the subsequent management will be guided by the availability and optimization of more potent therapies. These latter steps may require referral to secondary care if initial management fails [70]. Approaches to reflux should focus on best clinical practice, with treatment of the symptoms being the priority.

- It is wise to choose the lowest effective dose of prescription drugs — the lowest dose capable of providing acceptable symptom relief. This may range from no drug to short-term treatment with a once-daily PPI. In practice, standard-dose PPI therapy is usually used first; half-dose PPI controls symptoms in fewer patients, although some patients can successfully “step down” to lower doses after initial symptom control on standard doses.

- For patients with mild symptoms, and some patients with endoscopically diagnosed NERD, self-directed, intermittent PPI therapy (“on-demand therapy”) is a useful management strategy in many cases. It reduces the number of tablets taken, reduces costs, and empowers patients to manage their own symptoms. However, reversion to daily therapy should occur if symptom control is poor and quality of life remains impaired.

- At the primary care level, PPIs or a combination of alginate–antacid and acid-suppressive therapy can be prescribed at the physician’s discretion for combination therapy, which may be more beneficial than acid-suppressive therapy alone [70].

- For better symptom control, patients should be informed about how to use PPI therapy properly; optimal therapy may be defined as taking the PPI 30–60 min before breakfast, and in the case of twice daily dosing, 30–60 min before the last meal of the day as well [71].

- Patients in whom full-dose PPI treatment fails, with or without adjuvant therapies, may benefit from a trial of step-up therapy to a twice-daily PPI.

- Twice-daily PPI therapy may not work for a proportion of patients, either because the symptoms are not due to acid reflux and an alternative diagnosis should be considered, or because the degree of acid suppression achieved is insufficient to control the symptoms. Referral to secondary care should be considered for these “PPI-refractory” patients.

- OTC antacids show disappointing results in patients with erosive esophagitis.

**Self-care**

- Controlled weight reduction in the overweight and obese is an important part of the long-term management of GERD and should not be ignored as a therapeutic intervention, as it may reduce the frequency and intensity of symptoms and lessen the grade of EE, if present.

- Lifestyle — small meals, avoidance of late meals, avoidance of precipitating factors, use of a sleep positioning device (pillow) [72].

- OTC medicines (antacids or alginate–antacids) offer the most rapid, but usually transient, symptom relief and can be taken as required.

- Alarm features: see section 2.4.
Options for pharmacist-assisted self-medication

- Reinforce lifestyle advice.
- Guide patients in the selection of medical OTC treatment by confirming the diagnosis, referring patients with alarm symptoms to physicians, and educating patients on the proper use of their OTC medication — which in some jurisdictions may include PPIs [73].

N.B.: the availability of treatment choices varies between countries.

- **Antacids** — recommended for short-term or intermittent relief:
  - Simple antacids neutralize gastric acid — i.e., sodium, calcium, magnesium, and aluminum salts.
  - Alginate-containing agents: these include alginic acid with small doses of antacids: minimal buffering effects.
- **Histamine H₂-receptor antagonists (H₂RAs)** — recommended for short-term to medium-term use
  - Widely available OTC
  - Cimetidine, ranitidine, famotidine, nizatidine
  - More prolonged action than antacids
  - Tachyphylaxis
- **Over-the-counter PPIs:**
  - Patients seeking pharmacy advice for frequent reflux symptoms may benefit from OTC PPI treatment
  - Esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole, rabeprazole, which have different OTC availability in individual countries — see the Association of the European Self-Medication Industry web site (http://www.aesgp.eu/facts-figures/otc-ingredients/)
  - Other OTC PPIs may be available in other jurisdictions.
- **Alarm features:** see section 2.4.
  - Check medication interactions.

Self-treatment without investigation should be avoided in the presence of the following conditions [74–77]:

- **Heartburn or regurgitation symptoms when:**
  - Duration > 3 months with severe or nocturnal heartburn
  - Continuing after 2 weeks of treatment with an OTC H₂RA or PPI
  - Occurring when taking a prescription H₂RA or PPI
- **New-onset heartburn or regurgitation at age 45–55 years — lower age in several Asian regions**
- **Dysphagia or odynophagia**
- **Symptoms or signs of gastrointestinal bleeding:** hematemesis and melena, iron-deficiency anemia
- **Symptoms or signs of laryngitis:** hoarseness, wheezing, coughing, or choking
- **Unexplained weight loss**
- **Continuous nausea, vomiting, and/or diarrhea**
- **Symptoms suggestive of cardiac-type chest pain:** radiating to shoulder, arm, neck or jaw, shortness of breath, sweating
- **In pregnant women or nursing mothers**
- **Children < 12 years of age for antacids/H₂RA, or < 18 years for PPIs.**
Follow-up action

- The goals of self-treatment are that the patient should become symptom-free and return to an optimal quality of life, with the most cost-effective therapy.
- If satisfactory and complete symptom relief is not achieved, patients should be recommended to visit a health-care professional for diagnostic evaluation.
- PPI overuse — people who need sustained gastric acid suppression should have an appropriate indication for long-term PPI use; the long-term need for PPIs should be reassessed regularly. We advocate responsible PPI prescription, which should be based on good investigation and diagnosis and if the treatment does not work, medication should be stopped. Proper documentation is advocated.

Options for family physicians

- Reinforce lifestyle modifications
- Endorse OTC medications (antacids and alginates, H2RAs) as appropriate
- Prescription H2RAs
- Currently available PPIs — daily standard doses from studies of healing in EE (not all PPIs may be available in all countries, and the standard dose of PPIs may differ in some countries):
  - Omeprazole (20 mg)
  - Rabeprazole (20 mg)
  - Lansoprazole (30 mg)
  - Pantoprazole (40 mg)
  - Esomeprazole (40 mg)
  - Dexlansoprazole (60 mg)
- Prokinetic drugs:
  - May decrease gastroesophageal reflux, but few prokinetics are available for clinical use and their efficacy in clinical trials has been modest at best. Not recommended.
  - Metoclopramide should be avoided, because of adverse effects.
  - Domperidone shows little benefit and is not recommended, because of safety concerns around prolongation of the QTc interval on electrocardiography.
  - Mosapride: limited availability and efficacy.
- Alarm features: see section 2.4.
  - Check medication interactions
  - Rule out / treat other contributing conditions (constipation, exacerbating medications).

Options for specialists (secondary care: gastroenterologist, surgeon)

To address patients’ needs, the full range of symptoms should be taken into account. Symptoms in addition to or other than heartburn may respond differently to treatment.

- Regurgitation may not respond to treatment as well as heartburn.
- Interrupting PPI treatment may lead to short-term symptom rebound in a minority of patients [78,79].
- PPI treatment failure [80,81] may be related to:
  - Incorrect diagnosis: common with functional heartburn.
  - Noncompliance: patients with GERD may show poor adherence to the prescribed PPI, and this may play an important role in treatment failure [82].
— Incorrect dosing time: most PPIs are more effective if taken 30–60 min before a meal.
— Inadequate dosing.
— Low drug bioavailability (rapid metabolizers).
— Duodenogastroesophageal reflux, nocturnal reflux, weakly acidic reflux, residual acid reflux.
— Delayed/prolonged gastric emptying, gastric outlet obstruction.
— Esophageal hypersensitivity.
— Eosphophilic esophagitis.
— Psychological comorbidity.

- H2RAs are effective for suppressing acid in the short-term, but tachyphylaxis limits long-term benefits.
- There is little evidence to support the use of prokinetics (cisapride, domperidone, tegaserod, mosapride) alone or in combination with acid suppression. Serious adverse effects have led to withdrawal in many jurisdictions, and tachyphylaxis occurs. They cannot be recommended.
- Putative consequences or adverse effects of acid suppression [83]: most of these are based on retrospective analyses of heterogeneous populations and therefore show associations that may not be causal.
  — Headache and diarrhea occur at a rate little different from that with placebo.
  — Gastrointestinal infections [84]: a modestly increased risk of bacterial gastroenteritis and an association with increased risk of Clostridium difficile infection with PPI use.
  — Respiratory tract infections: reports describing a modestly increased risk of community-acquired pneumonia with PPI use acknowledge the heterogeneity of the study outcomes, the absence of a clear pathophysiological basis, and the potential for unmeasured confounders.
  — Low serum vitamin B12: not clinically significant.
  — Hypomagnesemia — very rare, but documented with re-challenge studies.
  — Cancer — no evidence of increased risk associated with PPI use per se.
  — Osteoporosis, fractures — not likely or probable.

- Alarm features (see section 2.4):
  — Check medication interactions.
  — Rule out/treat other contributing conditions (constipation, exacerbating medications).
  — Decide on the place of further investigations, “off-label” medications, and surgery.

### 4.3 GERD treatment in pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment option</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dietary and lifestyle modifications</td>
<td>Frequent (every 3 h), small meals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Last oral intake 3 h before bedtime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevate head of bed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antacids or sucralfate</td>
<td>Avoid long-term use or high doses of magnesium trisilicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid sodium bicarbonate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.4 Surgical interventions

Surgical intervention (usually fundoplication) in GERD patients is rarely indicated, but may be considered if there is a large hiatal hernia causing volume-related reflux symptoms and if there is evidence of aspiration or cardia dysfunction. Other indications may include noncompliance with medical therapy, side effects associated with medical therapy, esophagitis refractory to medical therapy, or persistent symptoms documented as being caused by refractory GERD [3].

- There is no evidence to support antireflux surgery for the sole indication of treating BE or preventing progression to early adenocarcinoma.
- Before antireflux surgery is considered, patients should be informed about the risk of long-term PPI use after surgery [85, 86].
- Before deciding on antireflux surgery, one should consider checking PPI compliance and optimizing medical therapy [85].

The response to acid suppression (or neutralization) in patients with functional heartburn is by definition absent or minimal at best, and patients are at risk of being referred for surgical treatment for GERD. Hence, all patients with symptoms of GERD who are referred for surgery should undergo 24-hour pH monitoring to rule out functional heartburn [87]. They should also undergo esophageal manometry, a barium swallow, and EGD to rule out other possible diagnoses.

Surgical endoscopic antireflux techniques were developed starting in the late 1990s, but most have not survived, due to limited success [88]. There is still a lack of long-term outcome data for some procedures and new techniques, and these therapies should only be offered in the context of clinical trials.

### 4.5 Managing complications of GERD

Although the prognosis for patients with GERD is good, with up to 90% achieving good symptom control with optimum treatment, complications may occur — including bleeding, BE, strictures, ulceration, and malignancy.

| Table 7 | Recommendations for complications in GERD |
|--------|
| **EE** |
| — Use the Los Angeles (LA) classification system (see Appendix) to describe the endoscopic appearance of EE. |
| — Patients with LA Grade A esophagitis should undergo further testing to confirm the presence of GERD. |
| — Repeat endoscopy should be performed in patients with severe EE after a course of antisecretory therapy, to exclude underlying BE and assess healing. |
Strictures and Schatzki ring

— Continuous PPI therapy is recommended following dilation of peptic stricture, to improve dysphagia and reduce the need for repeated dilations.
— Injection of intralesional corticosteroids can be used in refractory, complex strictures due to GERD.
— Treatment with a PPI is suggested following dilation in patients who have a lower esophageal (Schatzki) ring.

BE

— Use the Prague criteria to describe the extent of BE \([89,90]\).
— Consider screening for BE in patients with GERD who are at high risk on the basis of their epidemiologic profile (in regions in which the prevalence of BE is high).
— Symptoms in patients with BE can be treated similarly to patients with GERD who do not have BE.
— Patients in whom BE is found at endoscopy should undergo periodic surveillance in accordance with guideline recommendations.

BE, Barrett's esophagus; EE, erosive esophagitis; PPI, proton-pump inhibitor(s).

Note: These recommendations are based on the 2013 American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) Guidelines for managing complications of GERD [3]. The ACG guideline should be consulted for information about strength of evidence, evidence levels, and references. The Los Angeles classification is outlined in the Appendix (section 5.3, Table 10).

### 4.6 Cascades for the management of GERD

A thorough diagnostic evaluation of the patient’s history and a physical examination (see sections 3.1 and 3.2), including when symptoms occur (during the day or night, and in relation to meals) and the response (none, partial, or complete) to antacids, H2RAs, or PPIs are critical to provide the right guidance in resource-poor areas, in order to avoid unnecessary diagnostic investigations.

The Cascade shown in Table 8 assumes that there are no alarm features and no alternative, nongastrointestinal causes of the symptoms, that \(H. \text{ pylori}\) infection has been sought and eradicated if indicated, and that NSAID use has been excluded as a cause of symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of resources</th>
<th>Management strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limited resources</td>
<td>• Lifestyle modifications (diet, weight loss) to minimize symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Locally available symptomatic remedies if they are safe, effective, and less costly than prescription medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Most effective available acid-suppression therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Step-up therapy — AA, H2RA, PPI o.d., PPI b.i.d. — as available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stop therapy after 8 weeks to assess response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Resume therapy, as needed, at lowest effective dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— On demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of resources</td>
<td>Management strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Continuous therapy for patients with (a) frequent symptoms, (b) stricture, (c) BE (to control symptoms)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Consider <em>H. pylori</em> “test-and-treat” for patients on continuous PPI therapy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium resources</td>
<td><strong>PPI o.d. for 8–12 weeks, then reassess</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PPI b.i.d. for 8–12 weeks for persistent symptoms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Switch PPIs to a modified-release PPI (effect lasting &gt; 14 h/day, MR-PPI) if available (o.d. or b.i.d.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stop therapy on symptom resolution to assess response</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Resume therapy, as needed, at lowest effective dose</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— On demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lifestyle modifications (diet, weight loss) to minimize symptoms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Continuous therapy for patients with (a) frequent symptoms, (b) stricture, (c) BE (to control symptoms)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Consider <em>H. pylori</em> “test-and-treat” for patients on continuous PPI therapy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Laparoscopic antireflux surgery for structural disease (hiatus hernia) or volume reflux causing regurgitation, aspiration, stricture, or persistent nocturnal symptoms despite PPI b.i.d.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High resources</td>
<td><strong>MR-PPI o.d. for 8–12 weeks, then reassess</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MR-PPI b.i.d. for 8–12 weeks for persistent symptoms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>More frequent PPI therapy if incomplete response symptomatically and on pH monitoring</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stop therapy on symptom resolution to assess response</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Resume therapy, as needed, at lowest effective dose</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— Intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>— On demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lifestyle modifications (diet, weight loss) to minimize symptoms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Continuous therapy for patients with (a) frequent symptoms, (b) stricture, (c) BE (to control symptoms)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Consider <em>H. pylori</em> “test-and-treat” for patients on continuous PPI therapy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Laparoscopic antireflux surgery for structural disease (hiatus hernia) or volume reflux causing regurgitation, aspiration, stricture, or persistent nocturnal symptoms despite PPI b.i.d.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AA, alginate–antacid; BE, Barrett’s esophagus; b.i.d., *bis in die* (twice a day); H$_2$RA, histamine H$_2$-receptor antagonist; o.d., *omni die* (daily); MR-PPI, modified-release proton-pump inhibitor; PPI, proton-pump inhibitor.

## 5 Appendix

### 5.1 Abbreviations and definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACG</td>
<td>American College of Gastroenterology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>Barrett’s esophagus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.i.d.</td>
<td><em>bis in die</em> (twice a day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>electrocardiogram, electrocardiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>erosive esophagitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGD</td>
<td>esophagogastroduodenoscopy (upper gastrointestinal endoscopy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EoE</td>
<td>eosinophilic esophagitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESEM</td>
<td>endoscopic suspicion of esophageal metaplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration (United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERD</td>
<td>gastroesophageal reflux disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERS</td>
<td>gastroesophageal reflux symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>gastrointestinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$RA</td>
<td>histamine H$_2$-receptor antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Los Angeles (classification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR-PPI</td>
<td>modified release PPI (includes all delayed-release PPIs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NERD</td>
<td>nonerosive gastroesophageal reflux disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAID</td>
<td>nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o.d.</td>
<td><em>omni die</em> (daily)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTC</td>
<td>over the counter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>proton-pump inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUD</td>
<td>peptic ulcer disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDQ</td>
<td>reflux disease questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBT</td>
<td>urea breath test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDHD</td>
<td>World Digestive Health Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Gold standard guidelines on GERD

- **2013 American College of Gastroenterology guidelines for diagnosis and management:**
  

- **2012 American College of Physicians Clinical Guidelines Committee best practice advice:**
  

- **2011 American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on the management of Barrett’s esophagus:**
  

- **2010 Brazilian GERD group consensus guidelines:**
  

- **2008 Asia–Pacific consensus update:**
  

- **2007 American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy — role of endoscopy in the management of GERD:**
  

- **2006 American Gastroenterological Association Institute medical position statement on endoscopic therapy in gastroesophageal reflux disease:**
  

- **2005 Canadian Association of Gastroenterology GERD Consensus Group, 2004 update:**
  

5.3 Los Angeles classification of erosive esophagitis

Table 10  Los Angeles classification of erosive esophagitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>One or more mucosal breaks, no longer than 5 mm, none of which extends between the tops of the mucosal folds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>One or more mucosal breaks, more than 5 mm long, none of which extends between the tops of two mucosal folds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mucosal breaks that extend between the tops of two or more mucosal folds, but which involve less than 75% of the esophageal circumference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Mucosal breaks that involve at least 75% of the esophageal circumference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Prague criteria for Barrett's esophagus

The Prague criteria for BE provide a consensus-based endoscopic classification system that has undergone extensive internal and external validation by trained endoscopists. The Prague criteria provide a simple system for assessing the extent of Barrett’s esophagus, based on the length of distal esophagus involved circumferentially (C) and maximally (M) by Barrett’s epithelium with reference to the gastroesophageal junction, characterized by the proximal ends of the gastric mucosal folds and/or the lower esophageal sphincter “pinch.” These criteria have been shown to be identified and measured reliably by different endoscopists. The location of gastroesophageal landmarks is central to this classification and can also be reliably identified and located by different endoscopists. This standardized classification system enhances the ability of physicians to gauge the efficacy of treatments for BE in individual patients and the classification of patients with BE in clinical trials [89,90].

5.5 Regional epidemiologic data on GERD

Epidemiology of GERD in Japan

Michio Hongo

Table 11  Prevalence of GERD in eastern and southeastern Asia (data for Japan only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (ref.)</th>
<th>Study year</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fujiwara 2005</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Kansai region, Japan</td>
<td>Employees in obligatory annual health screening</td>
<td>6035</td>
<td>Not validated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe 2003</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Kansai region, Japan</td>
<td>Male employees in obligatory annual health screening</td>
<td>4095</td>
<td>Not validated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirakawa 1999</td>
<td>1997–98</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Citizens &gt; 35 y old in annual gastric screening program</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>Not validated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• Fujiwara Y, Higuchi K, Watanabe Y, Shiba M, Watanabe T, Tominaga K, et al. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease and gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms in Japan. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005;20:26–9. Of the 6035 eligible patients, 2662 (44.1%) reported having had heartburn and/or acid regurgitation during the previous year: 124 (2.1%) daily, 275 (4.6%) twice per week, 773 (12.8%) twice per month, and 1490 (24.7%) less than twice per month. Three hundred and ninety-nine (6.6%) patients were diagnosed as having GERD, and there was no relationship between the prevalence of GERD and either sex or age.

• Fujimoto K. Review article: prevalence and epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in Japan. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2004;20 Suppl 8:5–8. Endoscopic studies show that the overall prevalence of reflux esophagitis among the adult population in Japan is in the region of 14–16%.

• Fujimoto K, Iwakiri R, Okamoto K, Oda K, Tanaka A, Tsunada S, et al. Characteristics of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Japan: increased prevalence in elderly women. J Gastroenterol 2003;38 Suppl 15:3–6. The ratios of patients with each complaint relative to all patients were as follows: heartburn, 27.0%; dysphagia, 16.9%; odynophagia, 19.2%; acid regurgitation, 7.1%. The proportions of each grade were grade A, 9.6%; grade B, 4.6%; and grade C + D, 2.0%.

• Wong BC, Kinoshita Y. Systematic review on epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Asia. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;4:398–407. The reported population prevalence of GERD in eastern Asia ranged from 2.5% to 6.7% for at least weekly symptoms of heartburn and/or acid regurgitation and may be increasing. In case studies, the prevalence of reflux esophagitis ranged from 3.4% to 16.3%.

### Table 12 Prevalence of esophagitis in eastern and southeastern Asia (data for Japan only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Study year(s)</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Esophagitis grading system</th>
<th>Esophagitis prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Proportion of mild esophagitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furukawa 1999</td>
<td>1996–1998</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Outpatients and routine patients</td>
<td>6010</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inamori 2003</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Patients with heartburn, dyspepsia, noncardiac chest pain undergoing first-time endoscopy</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Yamagishi H, Koike T, Ohara S, Kobayashi S, Ariizumi K, Abe Y, et al. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in a large unselected general population in Japan. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14:1358–64. The prevalence of typical GERD symptoms (heartburn) was high, at about 20% of the Japanese population, and the frequency was especially high in women in the 60–89-year-old age group.
• Kinoshita Y, Adachi K, Hongo M, Haruma K. Systematic review of the epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Japan. J Gastroenterol 2011;46:1092–103. Seven studies reported that the prevalence of at least weekly symptoms was 6.5–9.5%, a figure approaching that reported in Western populations (10–20%).

Epidemiology of GERD in India

Shobna Bhatia

The prevalence of weekly GERD symptoms has been reported in various studies from India and ranges from 7.6% to 19%.

Table 13 Prevalence of weekly GERD symptoms in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population (n)</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Independent risk factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhatia et al.</td>
<td>General population from all over India; multicenter study, n = 3224</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>Consumption of nonvegetarian food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et al.</td>
<td>Employees in an institution, n = 4039</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>Higher BMI; current smoking; asthma; hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar et al.</td>
<td>High-altitude areas, n = 905</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>Younger age; sedentary lifestyle; serum LDL &gt; 150 mg/dL; high consumption of meat; low consumption of salted tea; low consumption of fresh fruits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

• Chowdhury SD, George G, Ramakrishna K, Balamurugan R, Mechenro J, Ramakrishna BS. Prevalence and associations of gastro esophageal reflux...
Epidemiology of GERD in Brazil

Joachim Moraes-Filho

The prevalence of heartburn (11.9%) is relatively high in the urban population in Brazil, although lower than the figures reported from other countries. Heartburn and GERD have a higher prevalence among women, and both are related to food intake, fatty and spicy foods; GERD is more prevalent in individuals over the age of 35.


Epidemiology of GERD in Asan-si, Korea

Young-Seok Cho

The prevalence of GERD among the population of the city of Asan-si in Korea was 3.5%. Heartburn and acid regurgitation were significantly associated with chest pain, dysphagia, globus sensation, hoarseness, and asthma.


Epidemiology of GERD in Argentina

Graciela Salis

In Argentina, typical symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux are highly prevalent at the national level (the prevalence of frequent reflux symptoms was 23.0% (95% CI, 20.1 to 25.9) and the prevalence of GERD was 11.9% (95% CI, 9.6 to 14.1), and frequent gastroesophageal reflux symptoms are significantly associated with dysphagia, globus, and noncardiac chest pain.


Epidemiology of GERD in Russia

Leonid Lazebnik

The population-based MEGRE trial, conducted on the basis of internationally recognized methodology in six cities in Russia, showed that the prevalence of GERD is 13.3%. Most of the patients pay little attention to the symptoms, do not seek medical advice, and therefore do not receive any adequate treatment. Heartburn occurred in 47.5% of the responders: frequently in 9% and rarely in 38.5%. Regurgitation occurred in 42.9% of the patients: frequently in 7.6%, rarely in 35.3%.
• Lazebnik LB, Masharova AA, Bordin DS, Vasil’ev IuV, Tkachenko EI, Abdulkhakov RA, et al. [Results of a multicenter trial “Epidemiology of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in Russia” (MEGRE)]. Ter Arkh 2011;83:45–50. [Article in Russian.]
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